

STAY AT THE TOP OF YOUR GAME.

Get the cable industry's must-read, daily insider summary of all that is important, including programming, operations, ratings, people, regulations, financial news and more...

Get a **FREE 3-WEEK TRIAL** to

Cablefax Daily

BCAP

NewsClips

June 10, 2016

Variety

[AT&T Exec Casts Doubt on Skinny Bundles](#)

Washington Post

[The U.S. just took one step closer to privatizing a core part of the Internet](#)

Reuters

[AT&T seeks to top Verizon as Yahoo reviews new bids: sources](#)

Louisville Courier-Journal

[Changes to Time Warner may be slow after closing](#)

New York Times

[Viacom Ruminations: Stacking the Board and Maybe Remerging With CBS](#)

Bloomberg

[Hey, Siri, How Is Apple Going to Keep Up With Google and Amazon?](#)

pennlive.com State

[representatives file petition to move LGBT anti-discrimination](#)

Armstrong and the Meadville Family YMCA have been named a bronze winner in the 37th Annual Telly Awards for their piece titled "Inspiration." There were more than 13,000 entries from all 50 states and numerous countries.

The five-minute recruitment video was a collaboration with the YMCA VP of Operations Hannah Fuller, YMCA staff and Armstrong Local Program Director Kevin Tommaney. The entry highlights all aspects of family activities that are offered at the Y and includes video of many of its members and children.

Connect your subscribers to the shows they love.

RoVi's new i-Guide® HD and Passport® Guide HD interactive program guides give your subscribers more than just TV listings. Powered by our highly descriptive entertainment metadata, our guides deliver intuitive navigation, video on demand, DVR and expanded search capabilities. Visit rovicorp.com/guides for more information.



The Telly Awards was founded in 1979. It honors outstanding local, regional and cable TV commercials and programs, the finest video and film productions, and online commercials, video and films. Winners represent the best work of the most respected advertising agencies, production companies, television stations, cable operators and corporate video departments in the world.

For its 37th season, The Telly Awards will once again give the public the power to view and rate videos submitted as part of the People's Telly Awards. In addition to recognition from the Silver Telly Council, and the judging panel that selects the Telly Awards winners, the Internet community helps decide the People's Telly Awards winners.

A judging panel of more than 500 accomplished industry professionals, each a past winner of a Silver Telly and a member of The Silver Telly Council, judged the competition, upholding the historical standard of excellence that Telly represents. The Silver Council evaluated entries to recognize distinction in creative work — entries do not compete against each other — rather entries are judged against a high standard of merit.

Less than 10 percent of entries are chosen as winners of the Silver Telly, the highest honor. Approximately 25 percent of entries are chosen as winners of the Bronze Telly.

"The Telly Awards has a mission to honor the very best in film and video," said Linda Day, executive director of the Telly Awards. "Armstrong and the YMCA's accomplishment illustrates their creativity, skill and dedication to their craft and serves as a testament to great film and video production."

"We are proud of our ongoing investment in local programming." Armstrong General Manager Scott Brush said. "This video certainly deserves the accolade and is a tribute, not only to Armstrong's commitment to local programming, but especially to Kevin Tommaney's talents. We recognize the YMCA as an important family institution that contributes to the overall quality of life of our residents, and we were honored to work with them on this project."

[bill out of committee, onto House floor](#)

[Philadelphia Daily News Editorial: The lost art of scratching each other's back](#)

To find out more about the Telly Awards, visit tellyawards.com. – *Meadville (Crawford Co.) Tribune* **Congrats to everyone at Armstrong on this well-deserved recognition!**

A U.S. Senate panel disclosed Thursday it is investigating pay TV competition and customer service issues and will call executives from top cable and satellite providers to testify. The investigation comes as the U.S. cable and satellite TV industry ranks among the lowest in consumer surveys over billing and other practices.

Senators Rob Portman, an Ohio Republican who chairs a panel on investigations and Claire McCaskill, a Missouri Democrat, said in a statement Thursday that they will hold a June 23 hearing that will include testimony from Comcast Corp, Charter Communications, DirectTV, a unit of AT&T Inc and Dish Network Corp.

The pair said in a joint statement the panel has been investigating the largest cable and satellite TV companies for more than a year, looking at potential barriers to competition in the industry, "including the difficulties faced by companies attempting to create innovative new television delivery models." The committee has also been examining billing, fees, refunds and other customer service issues. "We believe our hearing will be a big step forward for consumers, allowing them to understand how their TV providers really work and make informed decisions about their video service," the pair said.

Comcast declined to comment. Charter, which completed its acquisition of Time Warner Cable and Bright House Networks in May, said in a statement "our overall customer satisfaction ratings are trending in the right direction. Call volume into our call centers is declining, as are service calls." The senators noted that a recent American Customer Satisfaction Index survey found pay television service ranked near the bottom of the 43 industries surveyed - a year after the pay-TV industry tied for the lowest score among all industries ranked.

The hearing follows a Federal Communications Commission proposal to allow consumers to swap pricey cable and other pay TV boxes for cheaper devices and apps, a change that would boost competition in the \$20 billion television set-top box market. The proposal would let customers get video services from providers like Alphabet Inc, Apple Inc and Tivo Inc. It set off a lobbying battle between tech companies eager to tap into the market and cable and TV companies which could lose billions of dollars in rental fees.

The FCC says 99 percent of U.S. customers now must get their boxes from their cable companies, and they pay on average \$231 a year to lease the devices. Cable companies say the video marketplace is already evolving as more customers replace traditional pay TV services with streaming internet video. – *New York Times*

There is a well-documented "digital divide" between rural and urban areas when it comes to broadband access. As of 2015, 74 percent of households in urban areas of the U.S. had residential broadband connections, compared with only 64 percent of rural households. This gap has persisted over time. My own research reveals that broadband adoption can help improve the economy in these rural areas (including increasing income, lowering unemployment rates and creating jobs). In addition, we know that roughly 40 percent of the rural-urban adoption gap is because rural areas don't have the same level of broadband access.

Technology continues to improve, enabling [existing wiring to carry more and more data](#). The federal government has historically tried to [provide infrastructure in rural areas](#). Its latest effort, known as the "[Connect America Fund](#)" (CAF), initially [offered US\\$10 billion in subsidies](#) to the largest telecom companies to begin offering service in unserved areas.

In some states, those large providers rejected that offer – so that territory is [now available to smaller providers](#). Clearly, it is still significantly more difficult to deploy broadband in rural areas. In fact, [the latest data show](#) that only 55 percent of people living in rural areas have access to the speeds that currently qualify as broadband, while 94 percent of the urban population does. Why is this, exactly?

Legally speaking, "broadband" is whatever the Federal Communications Commission says it is. In the early 2000s, the FCC defined "broadband" connections as those that could transfer data at a speed of 200 kilobytes per second (kbps) in at least one direction – either "downstream," downloading from the internet to a user, or "upstream," uploading data from the user back to the internet. That was roughly four times faster than historical dial-up modems (56 kbps).

In 2010, the FCC changed what it called "broadband" to require speeds at least five times faster still. The minimum downstream speed increased to [4 megabits per second \(mbps\)](#), with at least 1 mbps upstream. Companies currently receiving Connect America Funds are required to provide at least [10](#)

mbps down and 1 up. However, in 2015, the FCC again upgraded the minimum broadband service to 25 mbps down and 3 mbps up. The fact that the CAF program will fund projects that do not meet the current official definition of broadband has been a point of criticism.

These thresholds will continue to get higher. As that happens, rural areas will require the most work to become – and stay – compliant, because their existing bandwidth is generally slower than their urban counterparts. Only 75 percent of rural Americans have access to fixed (not mobile) connections of at least 10 mbps download speeds, compared to 98 percent of urban residents. And only 61 percent of rural residents meet the current 25 mbps threshold for any type of technology, compared to 94 percent of their urban counterparts. It is still more efficient for telecommunications companies to install new communications lines in areas with high population density. This is basic economics related to how many customers there are to share fixed installation costs. There are typically around 2,000 people per square mile in urban areas versus 10 in some rural areas.

When companies switched data traffic from copper lines to more efficient and reliable fiber-optic ones, they did so first in the more profitable urban areas. Despite the many improvements in technology over the years, laying new line for wired internet connections still requires a significant amount of manual labor. Companies must weigh the cost of every mile laid against the expected profits from those lines. This works against rural areas, with fewer potential customers per mile.

For areas still served by copper wire, sending data at high speeds has distance limitations: The signals typically degrade after three miles. To get data traveling longer distances to and through rural areas, companies must install signal-amplifying equipment called “access multipliers.” That adds to the cost of serving rural customers.

Along these same lines, there is more competition among broadband providers in urban areas. Over 60 percent of the urban population has access to at least three wireline providers – a diversity of choice available to just 19 percent of rural residents. This competition can lead to lower prices and improved services for consumers – which, when they happen, ultimately raise adoption rates.

Mobile wireless is not the same as wired (yet). Wireline broadband technology is still dependent on the expensive act of physically laying wires. It might seem that wireless coverage – which covers broad areas from antennas across the territory rather than needing to connect wires to every home – could be the answer for rural communities. It is true that mobile wireless coverage has seen dramatic improvements over the years. As cellular networks have been upgraded over time (think 3G, 4G and 4G LTE), mobile upload and download speeds have also increased – and have made smartphone use much more commonplace. In fact, rural residents are among several groups who have started shifting their internet connections away from a landline residential connection and towards a smartphone.

The latest data from the National Broadband Map show that 98 percent of rural areas have access to some type of mobile wireless internet connection. However, those connections aren’t necessarily fast enough to meet the formal FCC definition of “broadband.” Specifically, 85 percent of U.S. wireline connections meet the current 25 mbps download threshold, while only 14 percent of wireless connections do so. Satellite connections typically max out at about 15 mbps. In addition, wireless coverage is sometimes spotty and can vary by provider and geography.

Rural broadband advocates have had some good news over the past couple of years with the continuing development of the Connect America Fund. To help improve the program, the FCC set up several “Rural Broadband Experiments” in 2015, with 14 projects ongoing (10 fiber and four wireless). These should provide some insight into the technological, administrative and logistical issues associated with funding rural broadband.

It is still worth noting, however, that even if rural broadband infrastructure were exactly the same as in urban areas, there would still be a “digital divide” in adoption rates, because rural populations are older, less educated and have lower income. Other programs, such as the recent Lifeline modernization (which will provide a monthly \$9.25 subsidy for low-income consumers to buy telecommunications services – including broadband) will seek to address this more demand-oriented aspect. – *The Conversation*



127 State Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101
717.214.2000 • bcapa.com

First in Broadband.
The Future of Broadband.®